REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application, Claim 8 having been amended. Support for the amendment to Claim 8 is found, for example, in Fig. 15 and its corresponding description in the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is added.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101, as directed toward unpatentable subject matter; and Claims 1-7 and 9 were allowed.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the allowance of Claims 1-7 and 9.

With respect to the rejection of Claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §101, Claim 8 is amended to more clearly describe and distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention. Particularly, Claim 8 is amended to recite "A computer readable storage medium encoded with instructions, which when executed by a computer causes the computer to control an information processing apparatus...." Applicants respectfully submit that amended Claim 8 is directed toward statutory subject matter. If a claim defines a useful machine or manufacture by identifying the physical structure of the machine or manufacture in terms of its hardware or hardware and software combination, it defines a statutory product. See, e.g., Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583, 32 USPQ2d at 1034-35; Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361-62, 31 USPQ2d at 1760.

Application Serial No.: 10/527,063

Reply to Official Action dated March 19, 2007

Consequently, in light of the above discussion, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and an early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Attorney of Record'

Registration No. 40,073

Joseph Wrkich

Registration No. 53,796

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

I:\ATTY\JW\267144US\267144US_AM DUE 6-19-07.DOC